## Rec'd PCT/PTO 15 DEC 2004 ENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

HEISER, Davie E. E. I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS

AND COMPANY Legal Patent Records Center 4417 Lancaster Pike

Wilmington, Delaware 19805 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

PATENT RECORDS CENTER

6 2004 MOV

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY **EXAMINATION REPORT** 

(PCT Rule 71.1)

TOBEREVIEWED Date of mailing

(day/month/year)

10.11.2004

Applicant's or agent's file reference BA9308PCT

International application No.

PCT/US 03/23821

International filing date (day/month/year)

29.07.2003

Priority date (day/month/year)

31.07.2002

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

Applicant

E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY et al.

- 1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
- 2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
- 3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

#### 4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

The applicant's attention is drawn to Article 33(5), which provides that the criteria of novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability described in Article 33(2) to (4) merely serve the purposes of international preliminary examination and that "any Contracting State may apply additional or different criteria for the purposes of deciding whether, in that State, the claimed inventions is patentable or not" (see also Article 27(5)). Such additional criteria may relate, for example, to exemple, to exemple, to exemple to enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims. enabling disclosure, clarity and support for the claims.

)An 2005

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Authorized Officer

Ambroa, J.R.

Tel. +49 89 2399-8012





# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference BA9308PCT	preliminary manufactor to the court of the c					
nternational application No.	International filing date (da 29.07.2003	y/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 31.07.2002			
	C) or both national classification and	IPC				
07D413/14						
Applicant E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS	S AND COMPANY et al.					
This international prelimina     Authority and is transmitted	ry examination report has been I to the applicant according to Al	prepared by this Inte	ernational Preliminary Examining			
2. This REPORT consists of	a total of 4 sheets, including this	cover sheet.				
☐ This report is also ac	ANNEYED is all	neets of the descript	ion, claims and/or drawings which have rectifications made before this Authority the PCT).			
These annexes consist of	a total of sheets.					
a. This report contains indica	tions relating to the following ite	ms:				
	Basis of the opinion  Priority					
III  Non-establish	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
IV     Lack of unity of	Lack of unity of invention					
V M Research state	Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
VI 🔲 Certain docum	documents cited					
	fects in the international application					
VIII	rations on the international applic	cation				
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of	this report			
23.02.2004		10.11.2004				
Name and mailing address of the i	nternational	Authorized Officer	or the Point and			
preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Of	īce ·	Cortés, J	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0	Tx: 523656 epmu d		· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		Telephone No. +49 8	9 2399-8206			

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY. EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US 03/23821

I.	Basis	of the	report
----	-------	--------	--------

1. With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)): **Description, Pages** as originally filed 1-72 Claims, Numbers as originally filed 1-11 2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3). 3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: ☐ contained in the international application in written form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. 4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of: ☐ the description, pages: the claims, Nos.: sheets: the drawings, This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have 5. been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

report.)

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US 03/23821

- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: No:

No:

Yes: Claims

Claims

Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

1-11

Yes: Claims

1-11

1-11

Industrial applicability (IA)

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

### Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document:

D1: Org. Prep. Proced. Int. (1993), 25, 588-590

### Novelty (Article 33(2) PCT)

The present process differs from the typical procedure disclosed in D1 (page 589, 4<sup>th</sup> paragraph) in step 3, i.e. in adding additional sulfonyl chloride after contacting the two reactants.

The present invention is novel.

### Inventive Step (Article 33(3) PCT)

D1 discloses a process for the preparation of a fused oxazinone and can be regarded as the closest prior art.

According to the Applicant (see e.g. the Applicant's letter of 16.06.04) the problem of the invention was the provision of an <u>improved</u> process for preparing fused oxazinones.

By modifying the process in D1 (present step 3 and ratios of reactants in the present steps 1-3) the Applicant has achieved a process with a higher product yield (typical yields above 80%, see present description, e.g. tables 1-3, page 45) than the process described in D1 (typical yields of 60% or lower, see D1, table, page 588).

D1 does not contain any indications which would have prompted a skilled person with the goal of providing a process with a higher yield to modify the process in D1 like it has been done in the present invention.

Therefore the present invention is based on an inventive step.